Case 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ

Document 8

Filed 06/12/2009

Page 1 of 7



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



OLIVERIO MARTINEZ, Derivatively on Behalf of TOLL BROTHERS, INC.,

Plaintiff.

VS.

ROBERT I. TOLL, BRUCE E. TOLL, ZVI BARZILAY, JOEL H. RASSMAN, JOSEPH R. SICREE, PAUL E. SHAPIRO, CARL B. MARBACH, ROBERT S. BLANK, RICHARD J. BRAEMER, ROGER S. HILLAS, EDWARD G. BOEHNE, and STEPHEN A. NOVICK

Defendants,

and

TOLL BROTHERS, INC., a Delaware corporation,

Nominal Defendant.

WILLIAM HALL, Derivatively on Behalf of TOLL BROTHERS, INC.,

Plaintiff.

VS.

ROBERT I. TOLL, BRUCE E. TOLL, ZVI BARZILAY, JOEL H. RASSMAN, JOSEPH R. SICREE, PAUL E. SHAPIRO, CARL B. MARBACH, ROBERT S. BLANK, RICHARD J. BRAEMER, ROGER S. HILLAS, EDWARD G. BOEHNE, and STEPHEN A. NOVICK.

Defendants,

and

TOLL BROTHERS , INC., a Delaware corporation,

Nominal Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ

Honorable C. Damell Jones II



Civîl Action No. 2:09-cv-01405-CDJ

Honorable C. Darnell Jones II

JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER, CONSOLIDATING ACTIONS AND ESTABLISHING BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Plaintiffs Oliverio Martinez ("Martinez") and William Hall ("Hall") (collectively "Plaintiffs"); nominal defendant Toll Brothers, Inc. ("Toll Brothers" or the "Company"); and defendants Robert I. Toll, Bruce E. Toll, Zvi Barzilay, Joel H. Rassman, Joseph R. Sicree, Paul E. Shapiro, Carl B. Marbach, Robert S. Blank, Richard J. Braemer, Roger S. Hillas, Edward G. Boehne, and Stephen A. Novick (together with Toll Brothers, Inc., "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, the two above-captioned actions—which Plaintiffs seek to bring derivatively on behalf of nominal defendant Toll Brothers—are currently pending in this Court. The above-captioned actions arise out of the same alleged transactions and occurrences and involve the same or substantially similar alleged issues of law and fact. The parties, therefore, stipulate that both actions are related and request that they be consolidated for all purposes. The parties agree that consolidation of these related actions will promote judicial economy, avoid duplicative proceedings, and streamline adjudication of these related matters.

WHEREAS, attorneys for Defendants returned Waivers of Service in *Martinez v. Toll*, Civil Action No. 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ, on behalf of all Defendants to attorneys for Martinez on or about April 7, 2009;

WHEREAS the undersigned attorneys for Plaintiffs and Defendants have conferred regarding issues of timing; and

WHEREAS the parties have not previously moved for an extension of time in this case.

The following is hereby STIPULATED AND AGREED, pursuant to Local Rule of Civil Procedure 7.4, by and among the undersigned attorneys for the parties.

- 1. The Hall action shall be consolidated with the Martinez action (the "Consolidated Action").
- 2. The file in Case No. 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ shall constitute the Lead Case for every filing in the Consolidated Action.

- 3. This stipulation, should it be entered as an Order of the Court (the "Consolidation and Scheduling Order"), shall apply to each case arising out of the same or substantially the same transactions or events as these cases, which is subsequently filed in or transferred to this Court. Each and every such action filed in or transferred to this Court, that involves questions of law or fact similar to those contained in the Consolidated Action, shall constitute a case related to the Consolidated Action ("Related Action" or the "Related Actions").
- 4. When a Related Action that properly belongs as part of the Consolidated Action is hereafter filed in this Court or transferred here from another court, counsel shall call to the attention of the clerk of this Court the filing or transfer of any case that might properly be consolidated as part of the Consolidated Action, and counsel will assist in assuring that counsel in subsequent actions receive notice of the Consolidation and Scheduling Order.
- 5. The Consolidation and Scheduling Order shall be entered without prejudice of the rights of any party to apply for severance of any claim or action, for good cause shown.
- 6. Robbins Umeda LLP, the Law Offices of Ronald A. Marron, Levant Martin & Tauber and The Guiliano Law Firm, P.C. will cooperatively litigate the Consolidated Action on behalf of Plaintiffs. Robbins Umeda LLP shall have the authority to speak for, and enter into agreements on behalf of Plaintiffs with Defendants. Defendants' counsel may rely upon agreements made with Robbins Umeda LLP. Such agreements will be binding on all Plaintiffs. Robbins Umeda LLP shall also manage the prosecution of this litigation and avoid duplicative and unproductive activities. Levant Martin & Tauber shall serve as the liaison counsel for plaintiffs with the Court.
- 7. Plaintiffs shall file a Consolidated Complaint within forty-five (45) days after the Consolidation and Scheduling Order is entered. The Consolidated Complaint shall be deemed the operative complaint, superseding all complaints filed in the actions consolidated hereunder.
- 8. Defendants shall have until sixty (60) days after the filing of the Consolidated Complaint to serve motions to dismiss.

Case 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ Document 18 Filed 07/14/2009 Page 4 of 7

Case 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ Document 8 Filed 06/12/2009 Page 4 of 7

9. Plaintiffs shall have sixty (60) days after the filing of the motions to dismiss to serve opposition papers.

10. Defendants shall have thirty (30) days after the filing of the opposition papers to serve reply papers.

Dated: June 12, 2009

LEVANT, MARTIN & TAUBER P.C.

ROBERT J. LEVANT

ROBERT J. LEVANT

320 North 18th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 564-5959 Facsimile: (215) 564-3939 relevant@imtpc.com

Proposed Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs and Counsel for Plaintiff Martinez

ROBBINS UMEDA LLP BRIAN J. ROBBINS FELIPE J. ARROYO (pro hac vice) GREGORY E. DEL GAIZO (pro hac vice)

610 West Ash Street, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 525-3990 Facsimile: (619) 525-3991 brobbins@robbinsumeda.com farroyo@robbinsumeda.com gdelgaizo@robbinsumeda.com

Counsel for Plaintiff Martinez

DATED: June 12, 2009 LAW OFFICES OF RONALD A.

MARRON, APLC

RONALD A. MARRON (pro hac vice)

RONALD A. MARRON

3636 Fourth Avenue, Suite 202

San Diego, CA 92103 Telephone: (619) 696-9006 Facsimile: (619) 564-6665

« 3 »

Case 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ Document 18 Filed 07/14/2009 Page 5 of 7

Case 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ Document 8

Filed 06/12/2009

Page 5 of 7

THE GUILIANO LAW FIRM, P.C. NICHOLAS J. GUILIANO

230 South Broad Street, Suite 601 Philadelphia, PA 19102 Telephone: (215) 413-8223 Facsimile: (215) 413-8225

Counsel for Plaintiff William Hall

DATED: June 12, 2009

DECHERT LLP ALEXANDER R. BILUS

Cira Center 2929 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 Telephone: (215) 994-4000 Facsimile: (215) 944-2222 sandy.bilus@dechert.com

Of Counsel

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL MICHAEL P. CARROLL EDMUND POLUBINSKI III JONATHAN D. MARTIN

450 Lexington Ave. New York, NY 10017 Telephone: (212) 450-4000 Facsimile: (212) 450-3800

Attorneys for Nominal Defendant Toll Brothers, Inc., and Defendants Zvi Barzilay, Joel H. Rassman, Joseph R. Sicree, Bruce E. Toll, and Robert I. Toll Case 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ Document 18 Filed 07/14/2009 Page 6 of 7

Case 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ Document 8 Filed 06/12/2009 Page 6 of 7

DATED: June 12, 2009

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP ANTHONY W. CLARK

ANTHONY W. CLA

One Rodney Square P.O. Box 636 Wilmington, DE 19899-0636 Telephone: (302) 651-3000 Facsimile: (302) 651-3001 anthony.clark@skadden.com

Of Counsel

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP ROBERT E. ZIMET CHRISTOPHER P. MALLOY WILLIAM F. CLARKE, JR. DANIEL M. GONEN

Four Times Square New York, New York 10036-6522 Telephone: (212) 735-3000 Facsimile: (212) 735-2000

Attorneys for Robert S. Blank, Richard Braemer, Paul E. Shapiro, Carl B. Marbach, Roger s. Hillas, Edward G. Boehne and Stephen A. Novick Case 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ Document 18 Filed 07/14/2009 Page 7 of 7

Case 2:09-cv-00937-CDJ

Document 8

Filed 06/12/2009

Page 7 of 7

* * *
ORDER

The above joint stipulation having been considered, and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS SO ORDERED

BY THE COURT:

C. Darnell Jones II

United State Districk Judge

ENTERED JUL 15 2009 CLERKOF COURT

7/15/09 mail. anozo

-6-